1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California MARC D. GREENBAUM			
2				
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General ANNE HUNTER, State Bar No. 136982			
4	Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice			
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2114		•	
6	Facsimile: (213) 897-2804			
7	Attorneys for Complainant			
8				
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF REGISTERED NURSING DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	STATE OF CALIFOR	UVIA		
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 2008-370		
13	FELCORANENDA VILLALUZ ESTUDILLO a.k.a. FELCORANENDA ESCANILAS VILLALUZ			
14	1004 WEST COVINA PKWY #444 WEST COVINA, CA 91790	ACCUSATION		
15	Registered Nurse License No. 370393	•		
16	Respondent.			
17				
18				
19	Complainant alleges:			
20	<u>PARTIES</u>			
21	1. Ruth Ann Terry, M.P.H., R.N. (Complainant) brings this Accusation			
22	solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing,			
23	Department of Consumer Affairs (Board).			
24	2. On or about March 31, 1984, the Board of Registered Nursing issued			
25	Registered Nurse License Number 370393 to Felcoranenda Villaluz Estudillo a.k.a. Felcoranenda			
26	Escanilas Villaluz (Respondent). The Registered Nurse License was in full force and effect at all			
27	times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on June 30, 2009, unless renewed.			
28	<i>\\\</i>			

JURISDICTION

3. This accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS AND REGULATIONS

- 4. Section 2750 of the Business and Professions Code (Code) provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may discipline any licensee, including a licensee holding a temporary or an inactive license, for any reason provided in Article 3 (commencing with section 2750) of the Nursing Practice Act.
- 5. Section 2764 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee or to render a decision imposing discipline on the license. Under section 2811, subdivision (b), of the Code, the Board may renew an expired license at any time within eight years after the expiration.
 - 6. Section 2761 of the Code provides in pertinent part:

"The board may take disciplinary action against a certified or licensed nurse or deny an application for a certificate or license for any of the following:

- "(a) Unprofessional conduct, which includes, but is not limited to, the following:
- "(1) Incompetence, or gross negligence in carrying out usual certified or licensed nursing functions.

"

- "(d) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violating of, or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter [the Nursing Practice Act] or regulations adopted pursuant to it."
 - 7. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1442, states:

"As used in Section 2761 of the code, 'gross negligence' includes an extreme departure from the standard of care which, under similar circumstances, would have ordinarily been exercised by a competent registered nurse. Such an extreme departure means the repeated

failure to provide nursing care as required or failure to provide care or to exercise ordinary precaution in a single situation which the nurse knew, or should have known, could have jeopardized the client's health or life."

8. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1443, states:

"As used in Section 2761 of the code, 'incompetence' means the lack of possession of or the failure to exercise that degree of learning, skill, care and experience ordinarily possessed and exercised by a competent registered nurse as described in Section 1443.5."

9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1443.5 states:

"A registered nurse shall be considered to be competent when he/she consistently demonstrates the ability to transfer scientific knowledge from social, biological and physical sciences in applying the nursing process, as follows:

- "(1) Formulates a nursing diagnosis through observation of the client's physical condition and behavior, and through interpretation of information obtained from the client and others, including the health team.
- "(2) Formulates a care plan, in collaboration with the client, which ensures that direct and indirect nursing care services provide for the client's safety, comfort, hygiene, and protection, and for disease prevention and restorative measures.
- "(3) Performs skills essential to the kind of nursing action to be taken, explains the health treatment to the client and family and teaches the client and family how to care for the client's health needs.
- "(4) Delegates tasks to subordinates based on the legal scopes of practice of the subordinates and on the preparation and capability needed in the tasks to be delegated, and effectively supervises nursing care being given by subordinates.
- "(5) Evaluates the effectiveness of the care plan through observation of the client's physical condition and behavior, signs and symptoms of illness, and reactions to treatment and through communication with the client and health team members, and modifies the plan as needed.
 - "(6) Acts as the client's advocate, as circumstances require, by initiating action to

part:

improve health care or to change decisions or activities which are against the interests or wishes of the client, and by giving the client the opportunity to make informed decisions about health care before it is provided."

- 10. Section 480, subdivision (a), of the Code provides in pertinent:
- "A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
- "(2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or another, or substantially injure another; or.
- "(4) Done any act which, if done b a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.

"The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of the business or profession for which application is made."

11. Section 481 states:

"Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to aid it, when considering the denial, suspension o revocation of a license, to determine whether a crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession it regulates."

12. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1444 provides in pertinent

"A conviction or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a registered nurse if to a substantial degree it evidences the present or potential unfitness of a registered nurse to practice in a manner consistent with the public health, safety or welfare. Such convictions or acts shall include but not be limited to the following:

- "(a) Assaultive or abusive conduct. . . .
- "(b) Failure to comply with any mandatory reporting requirements.
- "(c) Theft, dishonesty, fraud or deceit."

- 13. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
- 14. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence regarding Patient No. 13 1)

- 15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2761, subdivision (a)(1), for unprofessional conduct, in that from on or about September 24, 2003, through on or about October 7, 2003, while employed and on duty as a registered nurse and home health care services administrator at Wescove Home Health Services (Wescove), respondent was grossly negligent, as defined in section 1442, title 16, of the California Code of Regulations, by failing to provide supervision of nursing staff sufficient to insure competent nursing care to a home health care services patient. The circumstances are as follows:
- Wescove sometime in 1997. Wescove's 2003 records show that respondent was paid as the administrator at Wescove Home Health Services in Covina, California, from at least January 6, 2003, through at least October 5, 2003. Her duties included examining physicians' referrals to determine whether Wescove could provide the requested services, ensuring the delivery of quality care to patients by qualified staff, verifying staff credentials, and ensuring that patients' informed consent forms for treatment and insurance billing were signed and obtained before the agency provided any services.

^{1.} The full names and identities of patients referred to in this accusation will be made available to respondent in discovery.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

17. On or about September 24, 2003, a physician referred Patient No. 13 to Wescove for home health care. The referral ordered skilled nursing visits to the patient's home daily for seven days, three times for one week, and then weekly for seven weeks. The orders included observation, assessment and evaluation of the patient's cardiovascular, pulmonary and other systems, focusing particularly on critical indicators of hypertension. The physician's orders directed a skilled nurse to teach, instruct and/or demonstrate to the patient and his care giver information about the disease process, critical signs and symptoms to observe and report, and pain management. The orders further required the skilled nurse on every visit to take the patient's vital signs and to monitor his blood sugar levels for changes that would necessitate a change in medication or dosage of medication. The skilled nurse was also to evaluate the patient and/or his care giver on preparing and administering insulin injections. The skilled nurse was also to note the location, duration, type and scale of pain (0-5), and to report to the physician any arthritic pain and any other pain not relieved by rest or medications. The nurse was also to report to the physician any unfavorable response, change or reaction, such as increasing shortness of breath, to the ordered treatments and interventions.

- 18. Respondent assigned Allan G., a Licensed Vocational Nurse (LVN) to make the initial physician-ordered home visit. The LVN started working for Wescove on or about September 1, 2003, and continued working there until on or about October 6, 2003, when he was terminated. When hired, the LVN was told that he would be trained on how to make home visits. This was the LVN's first job at a home health agency. He was never trained in home visitations.
- 19. Respondent instructed the LVN to check the patient's vital signs and to ensure that the necessary eligibility forms were completed. The LVN visited Patient No. 13 on September 24, 2003, and checked the patient's vital signs. He reported that the patient's blood pressure was "okay." The LVN did not make any other home visits.
- 20. On October 7, 2003, the patient was admitted to White Memorial Medical Center for intracranial hemorrhage, secondary to malignant hypertensive crisis; history of atrial fibrillation, congestive heart failure and diabetes. Patient No. 13 expired on October 22, 2003.

21. Respondent claimed that the LVN had succeeded twice in four attempts to visit the patient. Respondent further claimed that the patient had seen his physician twice between September 24, 2003, and October 7, 2003, and that there was no cause for concern at the time. Respondent's failure to ensure that the physician's orders for skilled nursing care were carried out is an extreme departure from the standard of care.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Gross Negligence)

- 22. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2761, subdivision (a)(1), unprofessional conduct, in that she was grossly negligent, as defined in title 16, section 1442, of the California Code of Regulations, in carrying out her usual licensed nursing functions, in that she failed to act as an advocate for Patient 13, as set forth above in paragraphs 16 through 21.
- a. Respondent failed to provide Patient 13 with the skilled nursing services ordered by his physician.
- b. Respondent directed an LVN, untrained in performing home visitations, to take the patient's vital signs.
- c. Respondent instructed or allowed the LVN to have the patient sign blank forms for ten visits to be made by the home health care agency.
- d. Respondent failed to ensure that the home health care nurse tested the patient's blood sugar as ordered by the physician.
- e. Respondent failed to ensure that the physician was notified regarding the inability to coordinate a home visit with the patient.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Incompetence)

23. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2761, subdivision (a)(1), as defined in title 16, section 1443, of the California Code of Regulations, unprofessional conduct, in that she failed to exercise that degree of learning, skill, care and experience ordinarily possessed and exercised by a competent registered nurse, described in title

16, section 1443.5, California Code of Regulations, by her failure to provide Patient 13 upon admission with skilled nursing services as ordered by the patient's physician, her assignment of an untrained and inexperienced LVN to provide the physician-ordered home health care visits, her failure to ensure that the patient's blood sugar was tested as ordered by the physician, and her failure to notify the physician regarding the inability to coordinate home visits with the patient, as set forth above in paragraphs 16 through 22.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Incompetence)

- 24. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2761, subdivision (a)(1), as defined in title 16, section 1443, of the California Code of Regulations, unprofessional conduct, in that she failed to exercise that degree of learning, skill, care and experience ordinarily possessed and exercised by a competent registered nurse, described in title 16, section 1443.5, California Code of Regulations, by her failure to verify the credentials of registered nurses working at Wescove and her failure to ensure that 60 day patient care summaries were completed. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. A registered nurse, identified in the DHS survey as "Employee G" was working at Wescove while her registered nurse license was revoked. A competent registered nurse employed as an administrator would have verified the status of the nurse's license before assigning or allowing the nurse to engage in activities requiring a valid registered nurse license. Respondent admitted in October 2003 that she failed to verify the status of the license and was not aware that the employee's license was revoked.
- b. Respondent failed to ensure that 60 day patient care summaries were completed for patients to be re-certified to receive MediCare services.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Incompetence)

25. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2761, subdivision (a)(1), as defined in title 16, section 1443, of the California Code of Regulations, unprofessional conduct, in that she failed to exercise that degree of learning, skill, care and

1	experience ordinarily possessed and exercised by a competent registered nurse, described in title			
2	16, section 1443.5, California Code of Regulations, by her failure to ensure that all patients und			
3	Wescove's care were provided with the skilled nursing services ordered by physicians, as set			
4	forth above in paragraphs 15 through 24.			
5				
6	PRAYER			
7	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herei			
. 8	alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Registered Nursing issue a decision:			
9	1. Revoking or suspending Registered Nurse License Number 370393, issue			
10	to Felcoranenda Villaluz Estudillo a.k.a. Felcoranenda Escanilas Villaluz.			
11	2. Ordering Felcoranenda Villaluz Estudillo to pay the Board of Registered			
12	Nursing the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to			
<i>]</i> 13∙	Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and			
<u></u> 214	Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.			
, 15				
16	DATED: 71108			
1.7				
18	RUTH ANN TERRY, M.P.H., R.N. Executive Officer Board of Registered Nursing Department of Consumer Affairs			
19				
20				
21	State of California			
22	Complainant LA2006601312			
23	60313604.wpd			
24				
25				
26				
27				